UNMASKING ALFRED KINSEY

R. ALFRED KINSEY, author of the famous Kinsey Report, was quite the interviewer. His biographer, James H. Jones, writing in the Aug. 25 New Yorker, describes how Kinsey, inquiring into the most private and secret sexual histories of his subjects, would ask questions in staccato style so as to deny the interviewee the chance to think of a lie.



CHAREN

Questions supposed the behavior inquired about. It was, "At what age did you begin masturbating?" - not "Do you masturbate?" When asked how could when a subject was covering up, boasting or lying, Kinsey retorted, "As well ask a horse trader how he knows when

to close a bargain."

For thousands of hours, year after year, Kinsey interviewed thousands of people about their sex histories. It was all supposed to be very scientific. Certainly, the language suggested rigorous scientific method. But there were no written records of the interviews, certainly no audio or videotapes. There was no formal questionnaire, just a personal code Kinsey designed to recall what subjects had told him.

Sexual Behavior in the Human Male — sounds downright anthropological, doesn't it? — created a sensation when it was published with the imprimatur of the Rockefeller Foundation. America embraced Kinsey ardently and uncritically. He asserted that deviant sexual behavior was absolutely endemic among teen-age American males, and America believed him — thus undermining the very concept of deviance. (Despite the title, the report did not attempt to

study "human" males, only American males growing up in a few East Coast states.) Kinsey made the claim that 10 percent of males were practicing homosexuals, a figure that was widely accepted for two generations. But in 1994, the University of Chicago's National Health and Social Life Survey found that only 2.8 percent of American men could be called bisexual or homosexual.

Though Kinsey was at pains to present himself as a tweedy, middle-American professor and family man, Jones reveals, he was in fact a missionary whose goal it was to release

"The truth is ... tawdry and pathetic... Kinsey was not only interested in homosexual encounters — and he had many — but also in sadism and masochism."

America from the grip of "Victorian" inhibitions about sex. Why? Because Kinsey — wife and four children notwithstanding — was a serious sexual deviant himself.

The truth is about as tawdry and pathetic as Kinsey critics could possibly have imagined in their wildest dreams. Kinsey was not only interested in homosexual encounters — and he had many — but also in sadism and masochism. Jones, who had served as a scientific adviser to Kinsey's Institute for Sex Research, reveals that Kinsey, far from the antiseptic researcher serving science, was actually more of a panting voyeur, pursuing wilder and stranger

sexual exploits as his life unfolded.

He trolled through homosexual bars and nightclubs, supposedly seeking subjects for scientific research, but also seeking more private thrills. The subjects of his research were drawn disproportionately from among homosexuals. He had a particular interest in masochists.

At the Institute for Sex Research, Kinsey attempted to create a private sexual utopia, where everyone could have sex with everyone else. Kinsey's wife, Clara, who reportedly worshipped him, acquiesced, apparently even agreeing to be photographed masturbating and having sex with a staff member. There was, according to the wife of another employee, "sickening pressure" to agree to having sex on film.

As for the great man himself, he liked to be filmed — from the neck down — performing masochistic acts on his penis, like inserting pipe cleaners or swizzle sticks.

Toward the end of his life, Kinsey came to believe that there was nothing inherently wrong with sexual encounters between adults and children. It was society's attitude toward such contact that caused trouble.

In the 50 years since the Kinsey Report was published, Americans have behaved more or less as Kinsey would have wished, erasing centuries' old stigmas, jumping into sexual activity at a young age and placing sexual pleasure near the top of the hierarchy of life's goals.

Americans worship experts of every stripe — even to the point of abandoning common sense. Kinsey donned a lab coat and told us that all sexual behavior was "natural" and therefore beyond the reach of traditional morality. It was an absurd claim on its face — theft and murder are natural, too — and now we know that even the data he used were fraudulent. Jones has shown that Alfred Kinsey began the project we now call "defining deviancy down."

Creators Syndicate, Inc.

i, in Bancroft's words, demys-0s and 1950s are credited nan sexual behavior in the ortance even if they don't like insey died in 1956 inscy's pioncering studies of he people will recognize that's grossly unjustified. ything he did." century. I think the majority an important figure in

cer's might be used to caricased versions like m they see as a sort of Antiontext, and they's use it in n, people will take 's 900 pages long" ongoing attacks on Kinsey , a sort of architect of Ameri-The New Bancrof

things out get boiled siveness. sexuality with increasing sued an interest in extreme also depicts the renowned scienband and successful father, Jones ist "who, as he grew older, puradds that he was a devoted huslist as a homosexual and masochention about Kinsey's legacy and Those pursuits, according While he echoes Bancroft's con-

Jones does not identify. one of the subjects of his studies, others having sex with at least Jones, included: young professional man whom M Kinsey, his wife, Clara, and

-marital sexual liaisons and researchers could have extrabers of his close circle of friends R Kinsey's decree that mem-

tites, fetishists," Jones writes. IU officials have steadfastlu

officials have steadfastly

chists, voyeurs, exhibitionists,

transsexuals,

transves-

on the margins or

beyond the

sadomaso.

pale: homosexuals,

sistently engaged Kinsey's atten-

"The Americans who most per-

tion were people who were either

UESDAY, AUGUST 19,

tinued from Page 1

phasis on ologies and some religious conserscholars questioning its methodvatives complaining about its embeen strongly attacked "deviant" in recent years glv attacked by sexua

practices Jones' book throws fuel on both gaging in "masochistic

modern-day cult leader. sey as similar in some ways to a Jones at one point describes Kincareer at the institute. on film to protect her husband's "sickening pressure" to have sex member's wife talked of feeling Jones writes that one Indeed,

affected his findings. carried over into his that the scientist's own sexuality ing Kinsey for 25 years, argues Jones, who has been researchwork and

compul-

to

from his reputation as a pioneer." don't think it in any way detracts much detail," he didn't have it documented in so opment himself, which I think we probably all were aware of but had a very troubled sexual devel-"It tells us that clearly (Kinsey said.

acts in the attic of Kinsey's Bloom-The filming as long as he approved clates have acknowledged fended Kinsey over the years, but ems with Bancroft and some former asso-

stripes,

ington home, including Kinsey en-

masturba-

samplings.

Kinsey's statistical

-dord

about the attic

Kinsey's whom Jones

refused to comment on

those ma session

by confidentiality.

"One of the cardinal rules

ters Monday, saying he was bout

looking for ccnnique virtually Jones' statement hat he would find what he was methodology and But Bancroft takes issue with that Kinsey's his sampling guarantecd

was doing the right thing at the today's standards, "(Kinsey) was a very principled scientist." Bancroft said. methods may not be acceptable by He said that while Kinsey's he thought he

> author is a reputable scholar. interviewed by Jones and said

But he seconded Bancroft's con-

cannot say anything about sexua tivitles of anyone," he said

Gebhard did acknowledge being

we cannot discuss the sexual the institute has always been tha

matters.

as a valuable one. Still, Bancroft sees Jones' book

> article," he said. you have it in

Jones, who himself served

"There can't be much context if cern about aspects of the book

a

short Journal

Paul Gebhard, off the press, according to his pubof a dissertation about the instiatc in history at IU on the strength interviews until the book comes visory board, received his doctorthe Kinsey Institute's science ad-

The author is not

Author says scientist allowed personal sex life to taint his research into human sexuality.

> By Gerry Lanosga STAFF WRITER

The late Alfred Kinsey, who was acclaimed and vilified for his research into human sexuality, is portrayed in a new biography as a crusader for sexual liberation whose work was skewed by his own unusual sexual proclivities.

The book, by the well-regarded University of Houston historian James H. Jones, is excerpted in an article — titled Dr. Yes — in The New Yorker's latest edition, which hit newsstands Monday

The sometimes sordid account



Details of the late Alfred Kinsey's personal sex life are discussed in a new biography.

is sure to reignite debate about Kinsey at a time when his namesake, Indiana University's Kinsey Institute, has been working hard to move beyond the controversies that have

"Where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is Liberty"

dogged it. Alfred Kinsey: A Public/Private Life is to be published in October

same month the Kinsey Institute for Research in Sex, Gender and Reproduction is planning to observe its 50th anniversary in the field of sex research.

Institute Director John Bancroft said he welcomed the book as a valuable historical contribution, but he worried about how con-

See KINSEY Page 2

For more information write or call: